



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,095	05/31/2006	Reinhard Erwin Jackson	3953001USPCT	5372

7590
Reinhard Jackson
122 Nicholson's Point
RR3 Bath, ON K0H 1G0
CANADA

01/06/2009

EXAMINER

VENNE, DANIEL V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3617

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/06/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/581,095		JACKSON, REINHARD ERWIN	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	S. Joseph Morano		3617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) S. Joseph Morano. (3) _____

(2) Mr. Jackson. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 05 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: All pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: as applied in last Office action.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/S. Joseph Morano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3617
--	--

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant initiated interview to discuss the status of the case and response filed June 27, 2008. SPE Morano reviewed the file and note that the response had not been entered as a response but was a proper response because it requested reconsideration. SPE Morano discussed the case at length with the inventor and outlined options for appeal, continued prosecution or allowance. SPE Morano noted that at present it was believed that the rejection was believe proper for the reasons set forth in the Office action and discussed at length in the previous interview and that absent further action a final rejection would be issued. Applicant asked for assistance and agreed to take the allowable subject matter indicated in the Office action. SPE Morano agreed to re-write the allowable claims 10, 11, 13 and 14 in independent form and correct the minor formalities noted in the Office action so as to pass the case onto allowance. It was noted that the examiner's amendment would require a fee for 1 additional claim since upon re-writing the claims there would be a total of Four and the initial filing fee only covered up to three. Applicant agreed to send in the additional claim fee, and SPE Morano indicated the case would be allowed upon received that fee. .